

Foundation Best Management Practices for Urban Water Efficiency

Email:

matthew.lyons@lbwater.org

GPCD in 2010

Highest Acceptable GPCD

for 2010

Not on Track if 2010 GPCD is > than target

On Track

110

133

Agency: LBWD District Name: LBWD CUWCC Unit #: 66 Retail

Matthew Lyons **Compliance Option Chosen By Reporting Agency:**

(Traditional, Flex Track or GPCD)

GPCD if used:

Primary Contact

GPCD in 2010 110 **GPCD Target for 2018** 109

Telephone 562-570.2315

| Year | Report | Target | | Highest | Acceptable | Bound |
|------|--------|--------|------|---------|------------|-------|
| | | % Base | GPCD | % Base | GPCD | |
| 2010 | 1 | 96.4% | 128 | 100% | 133 | |
| 2012 | 2 | 92.8% | 123 | 96% | 128 | |
| 2014 | 3 | 89.2% | 119 | 93% | 123 | |
| 2016 | 4 | 85.6% | 114 | 89% | 119 | |
| 2018 | 5 | 82.0% | 109 | 82% | 109 | |
| | | | | | | - |

Reporting Period: Fiscal

Agency: LBWD

District Name: LBWD

CUWCC Unit #: 66



CUWCC BMP RETAIL COVERAGE REPORT 2009-2010

Foundation Best Management Practices for Urban Water Efficiency

BMP 1.1 Operational Practices

| | | 2009 | 2010 |
|-----------------------------|------------------------|--|--|
| 1.Conservation Coordinator | Name Title Email | Matthew Lyons Dir. of Planning and Conservation | Matthew Lyons Dir. of Planning and Conservation matthew.lyons@lbv |
| Water waste prevention docu | umentatio | On Track | On Track |
| Descriptiv | | 0 | 0 |
| URL | | The "Web address" limits the amount of text that can be entered; so here's the other URL: http://www.lbwater.org/pdf/conservation/pu ow_charge.pdf | http://www.lbwater.org/pdf/conservation/wtr_consrv_shortage_plan.pdf |
| Description | on | The "Web address" limits the amount of text that can be entered; so here's the other URL: http://www.lbwater.org/pdf/conservation/pu ow_charge.pdf | The "Web address" limits the amount of text that can be entered; so here's the other URL: http://www.lbwater.org/pdf/conservation/puow_charge.pdf |
| | | On Track | On Track |

District Name: LBWD

CUWCC Unit #: 66



Agency: LBWD

Retail

CUWCC BMP RETAIL COVERAGE REPORT 2009-2010

Foundation Best Management Practices for Urban Water Efficiency

BMP 1.2 Water Loss Control

| | 2009 | |
|---|--------------------------------|----------------------|
| Complete a prescreening Audit Metered Sales Verifiable Other Uses Total Supply | yes 54,220 247 57,005 | On Track |
| (Metered Sales + System uses)/ Total Supply >0.89 | 0.96 | On Track |
| If ratio is less than 0.9, complete a full scale Audit in 2009? | Yes | On Track |
| Verify Data with Records on File? Operate a system Leak Detection Program? | Yes Yes | On Track On Track |

| | • | • | 2010 | | |
|---|-------------------|--------------------|--------------|------------|----------------|
| Compile Standard Water Audit using AWWA Softwa | are? | | Yes | On Track | |
| AWWA file provided to CUWCC? | | \/\ater\u | dit - LBWD - | On Track | |
| ' | | WaterAut | | OII IIack | |
| AWWA Water Audit Validity Score? | | | 69 | | |
| Completed Training in AWWA Audit Method? | | | yes | | |
| Completed Training in Component Analysis Process | ? | | No | | |
| Complete Component Analysis? | | | No | | |
| Repaired all leaks and breaks to the extent cost effe | ctive? | | Yes | On Track | |
| Locate and repair unreported leaks to the extent cos | t effective. | | Yes | On Track | |
| Maintain a record-keeping system for the repair of re leaks, including time of report, leak location, type of pipe segment or fitting, and leak running time from repair. | leaking | | | | |
| Provided 7 types of Water Loss Control Info | | | | | |
| Leaks Value Real Losses Value Apparent Losses | Miles Surveyed | Press Reduction | Cost of Inte | erventions | Water Saved |
| 0 \$ - \$ - | 0 | Off | \$ | - | 0 |
| | | | | | |

On Track if Yes On Track if =>.89, Not on Track if No On Track if Yes On Track if Yes On Track if Yes On Track if Yes, Not on Track if No On Track if Yes, Not on Track if No Info only until 2012 Info only until 2012 Info only until 2012 On Track if Yes, Not on Track if No On Track if Yes, Not on Track if No Info only until 2012 Info only until 2012

Agency: LBWD Retail

District Name: LBWD

CUWCC Unit #: 66



CUWCC BMP RETAIL COVERAGE REPORT 2009-2010

Foundation Best Management Practices for Urban Water Efficiency

1.3 METERING WITH COMMODITY RATES FOR ALL NEW CONNECTIONS AND RETROFIT OF **EXISTING CONNECTIONS**

If signed MOU prior to 31 Dec 1997, On Track if all connections

| EXISTING CONNECTIONS | | | | | metered; If signed after 31 Dec 1997, complete meter | | |
|---|-------|----------|-------|----------|--|--|--|
| | 2009 | | 2010 | | installations by 1 July 2012 or within 6 yrs of signing and 20% biannual reduction of unmetered connections. | | |
| Exemption or 'At least as Effective As' accepted by CUWCC | | | | | | | |
| Numbered Unmetered Accounts 2008 | 0 | On Track | 0 | On Track | On Track if no unmetered accounts | | |
| Metered Accounts billed by volume of use | Yes | On Track | Yes | | Volumetric billing required for all connections on same schedule as metering | | |
| Number of CII accounts with Mixed Use meters | 7,152 | | 6,559 | | Info only | | |
| Conducted a feasibility study to assess merits of a program to provide incentives to switch mixed-use accounts to dedicated andscape meters? | Yes | On Track | Yes | On Track | On Track if Yes, Not on Track if No | | |
| Feasibility Study provided to CUWCC? | Yes | On Track | Yes | On Track | On Track if Yes, Not on Track if No | | |
| Completed a written plan, policy or program o test, repair and replace meters | Yes | On Track | Yes | On Track | On Track if Yes, Not on Track if No | | |



California Urban Water **Conservation Council**

TARGETS / COMPLIANCE (CUWCC MOU)

Baseline / Initial GPCD (Use option buttons to select)

GPCD in 2006 O

Baseline GPCD (1997 to 2006)

133.0

GPCD in 2010

GPCD Target for 2018

109.9 109.1

Biennial GPCD Compliance Table

| Year | Report | Tar | get | Highest Acceptable Bound | | |
|------|--------|--------|-------|-----------------------------|-------|--|
| | | % Base | GPCD | % Base | GPCD | |
| 2010 | 1 | 96.4% | 128.2 | 100% | 133.0 | |
| 2012 | 2 | 92.8% | 123.4 | 96.4% | 128.2 | |
| 2014 | 3 | 89.2% | 118.6 | 92.8% | 123.4 | |
| 2016 | 4 | 85.6% | 113.8 | 89.2% | 118.6 | |
| 2018 | 5 | 82.0% | 109.1 | 82.0% | 109.1 | |

Potable Water GPCD for each Year in the **Baseline Period**

| Year | GPCD |
|------|-------|
| 2006 | 127.7 |
| 2005 | 129.7 |
| 2004 | 135.9 |
| 2003 | 130.6 |
| 2002 | 134.6 |
| 2001 | 133.9 |
| 2000 | 134.2 |
| 1999 | 131.9 |
| 1998 | 132.6 |
| 1997 | 138.8 |

Monthly GPCD Data for Weather Normalization

| Fiscal Year Ending | JUL | AUG | SEP | ОСТ | NOV | DEC | JAN | FEB | MAR | APR | MAY | JUN |
|-----------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| 2010 | 131.4 | 128.0 | 122.2 | 115.2 | 106.1 | 95.1 | 93.8 | 82.1 | 101.8 | 100.6 | 120.1 | 122.2 |
| Baseline avg* | 159.5 | 161.3 | 150.1 | 139.4 | 121.6 | 116.8 | 114.3 | 103.9 | 119.0 | 123.4 | 139.2 | 147.3 |

^{*} The average for each month is based on the baseline period 1997 to 2006



Foundation Best Management Practices for Urban Water Efficiency

| Agency: LBWD Retail | | | District Name: | LBWD | | CUW | CC Unit #: | 66 |
|------------------------|--|--|---------------------------------|--|---|--|---------------------------------|----|
| Primary Contact | Matthew Lyons | | | | Email: | matthew.lyons@lbwat | er.org | |
| 1.4 Retail Conser | | | | | | On Track if: Increasing Allocation, Standby Se otherwise | | |
| | Customer Class | 2009 Rate Type | Conserving Rate? | Customer Class | 2010 Rate | Type Conservir | ng Rate? | 1 |
| | Commercial Industrial Dedicated Irrigation Fire Lines Multi-Family | Uniform Uniform Uniform Uniform Increasing Block | Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes | Commercial Industrial Dedicated Irrigation Fire Lines Multi-Family | Uniform Uniform Uniform Uniform Uniform | | Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes | |
| | | On Track | | | | On Track | | Ì |

Year Volumetric Rates began for Agencies with some Unmetered Accounts

Info only

Agencies with Partially Metered Service Areas: If signed MOU prior to 31 Dec. 1997, implementation starts no later than 1July 2010. If signed MOU after 31 Dec. 1997, implementation starts no later than 1July 2013, or within seven years of signing the MOU,





Foundation Best Management Practices for Urban Water Efficiency

No

Adequacy of Volumetric Rates) for Agencies with No Unmetered Accounts

| Customer Cla | ass | 2009 Rate Type | 2009 | 9 Volumetric | 2010 Rate Type | 20 | 10 Volumetric | |
|----------------------|------------|-------------------------|------|--------------|----------------|-----|---------------|---|
| | | | Reve | nues \$1000s | | Rev | enues \$1000s | ; |
| Commercial | | Uniform | \$ | 12,663 | Commercial | \$ | 13,83 | 3 |
| Industrial | | | \$ | 274 | | \$ | 22 | 5 |
| Dedicated Irrigation | | | \$ | 2,081 | | \$ | 1,96 | 5 |
| Fire Lines | | | \$ | 11 | | \$ | | 9 |
| Multi-Family | | | \$ | 19,021 | | \$ | 20,86 | 3 |
| Other | | | \$ | 18,572 | | \$ | 19,70 | 3 |
| Other | | | \$ | - | | \$ | - | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Total F | Revenue Co | ommodity Charges (V): | \$ | 52,621 | | \$ | 56,60 | 9 |
| | | ue Fixed Charges (M): | | \$ 12,587 | | \$ | 19,05 | 4 |
| | | Calculate: V / (V + M): | | 81% | | | 75 | % |
| | | | | On Track | | | On Track | |

No

Canadian Water & Wastewater Rate Design Model Used and Provided to CUWCC

If Canadian Model is used, was 1 year or 3 year period applied?

Agency Choices for rates:

A) Agencies signing MOU prior to 13 June2007, implementation starts 1 July2007: On Track if (V / (V + M) ≥ $70\% \times .8 = 56\%$ for 2009 and $70\% \times 0.90 = 63\%$ for 2010; Not on track if (V / (V + M)) < 70%;

B) Use Canadian model.

Agencies signing MOU after 13June2007, implementation starts July 1 of year following signing.

Agency: LBWD Retail

District Name: LBWD



CUWCC Unit #: 66

CUWCC BMP RETAIL COVERAGE REPORT 2009-2010



Foundation Best Management Practices for Urban Water Efficiency

| Wastewater Rates | 2009 | If 'No', then wastewater rate info not | 2010 |
|------------------------------------|------|--|------|
| Does Agency Provide Sewer Service? | yes | required. | Yes |

| Customer Class | 2009 Rate Type | Conserving Rate? | Customer Class | 2010 Rate Type | Conserving Rate? |
|----------------|-------------------------|------------------|----------------|----------------|------------------|
| Single-Family | Uniform | Yes | Single-Family | Uniform | Yes |
| Multi-Family | Uniform | Yes | | | |
| Other | Uniform | Yes | | | |
| Other | Select a Rate Structure | Yes | | | |
| Other | Select a Rate Structure | yes | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | On Tra | ıck | | On | Track |

On Track if: 'Increasing Block', 'Uniform', 'based on long term marginal cost' or 'next unit of capacity'



Foundation Best Management Practices for Urban Water Efficiency

| conce | | | |
|---|---|--|--|
| Agency: LBWD | District Name: LBWD | | CC Unit #: 66 |
| | | Coverage Report Date: | May 19, 201 |
| Primary Contact Matthew Lyons | Telephone #N/A | Email: matthew.lyons@lbwat | er.org |
| BMP 2. EDUCATION PROGRAMS BMP 2.1 Public Outreach Actions Impleme | nted and Reported to CUWCC | | |
| | 2009 | 2010 | |
| 1) Contacts with the public (minimum = 4 times per year) | 12 | 12 | |
| 2) Water supplier contacts with media (minimum = 4 times per year, i.e., at least quarterly). | 4 | 4 | |
| 3) An actively maintained website that is updated regularly (minimum = 4 times per year, i.e., at least quarterly). | Yes | yes | All 6 action types implemented and |
| Description of materials used to meet minimum requirement. | Newsletter articles on conservation Website Email Messages Select a public contact News releases Articles or stories resulting from outreach Television contacts Select a type of media contact | Newsletter articles on conservation Newsletter articles on conservation Website Select a public contact Articles or stories resulting from outreach News releases Newspaper contacts Television contacts | reported to CUWCC to be 'On Track') |
| 5) Annual budget for public outreach program. | \$ 293,917 | \$ 371,917 | |
| 6) Description of all other outreach programs | 0 | 0 | |
| | OnTrackfor 5 Actions | OnTrack for 5 Actions | |

Agency: LBWD District Name: LBWD CUWCC Unit #: 66
Coverage Report Date: May 19, 2011



CUWCC BMP RETAIL COVERAGE REPORT 2009-2010

Foundation Best Management Practices for Urban Water Efficiency

2.2 School Education Programs Implemented and Reported to CUWCC

2009 2010 Does a wholesale agency implement School Education No No Programs for this unility's benefit? Name of Wholesale Supplier? 0 Worked with MWDSC to develop grade-Project WET 1) Curriculum materials developed and/or provided by appropriate materials. Yes/ No agency 2) Materials meet state education framework All 5 actions types implemented Yes requirements and are grade-level appropriate? Yes and reported to CUWCC to be 'On Track' 3) Materials Distributed to K-6? yes Yes Describe K-6 Materials "Salad Bowl": how much water does it take "Salad Bowl": how much water does to grow inters to make a salade; standards: it take to grow inters to make a Describe materials to meet Grades 2, 3 & 4 science and math. "World salade; standards: Grades 2, 3 & 4 minimum requirements of Water" activity, students create a "stress science and math. "World of ball world" using balloons and rice: Water" activity, students create a "stress ball world" using balloons standards K-6 creative expression. Poster Contest: student art projects expessing water and rice: standards K-6 creative conservation ideas; K-6 standards in creative expression. Poster Contest: expression. student art projects expessing water conservation ideas; K-6 standards in creative expression. Materials distributed to 7-12 students? No No Info Only 4) Annual budget for school education program. \$ 4,300 \$ 25,000 5) Description of all other water supplier education programs On Track On Track